



7-OH has become central to a growing policy debate as concentrated tablets, sublingual films, and other commercial products are now widely available in the United States.

EXPLAINER

7-Hydroxymitragynine (7-OH): Mechanism, Risks, and the Case for Proportionate Regulation

May 2026

Introduction

Mitragyna speciosa, the Southeast Asian plant better known as [kratom](#), contains trace amounts of a naturally occurring alkaloid called [7-hydroxymitragynine \(7-OH\)](#). This substance has become central to a growing policy debate as concentrated tablets, sublingual films, and other commercial products are now widely available in the United States. Unlike whole-leaf kratom, concentrated 7-OH [acts more directly](#) on the brain's opioid system and is [increasingly marketed](#) as an alternative to prescription opioids or illicit drugs.

How 7-OH Compares to Whole-Leaf Kratom

Kratom leaf and concentrated 7-OH are related but distinct products. Kratom contains dozens of alkaloids, with mitragynine accounting for most of the plant's alkaloid content. While 7-OH naturally occurs at only about [0.01 to 0.05 percent](#) of dried leaf weight, it also forms when mitragynine metabolizes in the body following kratom consumption. Concentrated 7-OH products bypass that slower biological conversion to deliver the metabolite directly. Some [commercial products](#) are produced by chemically converting other naturally occurring kratom alkaloids into 7-OH rather than directly extracting it from leaf material, allowing concentrations [far above what occurs naturally](#) in the plant.

How 7-OH Works in the Brain

The substance primarily targets the brain's mu-opioid receptor, which is also involved in opioid pain relief and respiratory depression. However, 7-OH [behaves differently](#) from full opioid agonists like fentanyl or oxycodone. In fact, modern receptor assays characterize 7-OH as a partial agonist with lower intrinsic efficacy than conventional opioids and a [pharmacological profile](#) closer to buprenorphine—a medication used to treat opioid use disorder—than fentanyl. The commonly repeated claim that 7-OH is approximately [13 times stronger than morphine](#) comes from a [2002 guinea pig tissue assay](#) rather than modern human-receptor data. Current evidence suggests that 7-OH exhibits a [relative ceiling effect](#) on respiratory depression compared with full opioid agonists, although the human evidence base remains limited.

What 7-OH Does to Behavior

Animal studies confirm that 7-OH produces opioid-like analgesia and can support self-administration behavior in rodents—findings [consistent with dependence](#). At the same time, [neurochemical research](#) suggests that 7-OH does not strongly activate the mesolimbic dopamine pathways associated with highly reinforcing opioids and may affect [reward signaling](#) differently than fentanyl-class substances, suggesting a lesser risk of dependence. Some early studies have explored possible therapeutic applications involving [pain management](#) and [substance use disorders](#), though these findings remain preliminary.



Free markets. Real solutions.



A proportionate regulatory framework should distinguish between natural kratom products, concentrated 7-OH preparations, and genuinely synthetic analogs. Such an approach would better align policy with the available evidence while reducing the likelihood of consumers migrating toward illicit and more dangerous synthetic opioids.

EXPLAINER

7-Hydroxymitragynine (7-OH): Mechanism, Risks, and the Case for Proportionate Regulation

May 2026

Real-World Harm Signals

While human evidence remains limited, it does not currently reflect the population-level harm patterns historically associated with illicit opioids. According to survey and observational research, [many consumers report](#) using kratom or 7-OH products for chronic pain, mood support, energy, or as substitutes for prescription opioids rather than for recreational intoxication. [One clinical case report](#) documented tolerance and opioid-type withdrawal after repeated high-dose use of a concentrated sublingual 7-OH preparation, demonstrating that dependence is biologically possible. That risk should not be ignored; however, current surveillance data does not indicate that 7-OH alone is increasing population-level overdose risk.

Data Limitations and the “Synthetic” Label

Real-world harm data remain incomplete and heavily confounded by polysubstance exposure. Both [adverse-event reporting](#) from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and [national poison center data](#) indicate that while reports involving 7-OH are increasing alongside market growth, the most severe outcomes involve alcohol, other drugs, or unclear toxicology histories. Because 7-OH is a normal metabolite of mitragynine, individuals who consume kratom before death may test positive for 7-OH even when concentrated products were not involved—thereby complicating forensic interpretation. The term “synthetic opioid” is often used imprecisely in discussions of 7-OH, as some commercial products are better described as [semi-synthetic concentrates](#). These are manufactured through chemical conversion processes that substantially increase 7-OH concentration beyond natural leaf levels.

Conclusion

Although [current evidence](#) does not support treating concentrated 7-OH as interchangeable with heroin or fentanyl, it does not support treating it as risk-free, either. Dependence, impaired judgment, and adverse interactions with other substances remain real concerns—particularly for adolescents, pregnant consumers, and people with substance use disorders. The evidence base is also limited by the lack of large longitudinal human studies, inconsistent product labeling, and uneven toxicology reporting. Those limitations argue for cautious regulation rather than unrestricted commercialization or immediate prohibition. A proportionate regulatory framework should distinguish between natural kratom products, concentrated 7-OH preparations, and genuinely synthetic analogs. Such an approach would better align policy with the available evidence while reducing the likelihood of consumers migrating toward illicit and more dangerous synthetic opioids.

For more information,
contact:

Jeffrey Smith
R Street Institute
Resident Senior Fellow, Healthier Communities
jsmith@rstreet.org