



1212 New York Ave. NW
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20005
202-525-5717

Free Markets. Real Solutions.
www.rstreet.org

Testimony from:

Wayne Brough, Director of Technology and Innovation, R Street Institute
Marc Hyden, Director of State Government Affairs, R Street Institute

In OPPOSITION SB 63, "To amend Part 2 of Article 15 of Chapter 1 of Title 10 of the Official Code of Georgia 2 Annotated, relating to the "Fair Business Practices Act of 1975,"

March 1, 2021

Senate Science and Technology Committee

Chairman and members of the committee,

Our names are Wayne Brough and Marc Hyden, and we are with the R Street Institute, which is a nonprofit, nonpartisan, public policy research organization. Our mission is to engage in policy research and outreach to promote free markets and limited, effective government in many areas, including the technology and innovation sector. That is why SB 63 is of special interest to us.

We are concerned that SB 63 has the potential to fundamentally reshape the market for applications downloaded to smartphones and other devices in ways that will harm both consumers and app developers. More specifically, SB 63 states that a digital application distribution platform cannot "require a developer to use an in-application payment system as the exclusive mode of accepting payment from a user to download a software application or purchase a digital or physical product through a software application," among other things.¹

This legislation challenges perhaps the most widely used business model for the distribution and purchase of apps, such as Google Play or the Apple App Store. These platforms provide consumers a wide range of choices while offering developers an effective and trusted platform for selling their products. In fact, they facilitated the transition from cell phones to smart phones, providing tremendous value to consumers who rely on these devices for everything from email and finding directions, to watching videos and staying in touch with friends and family through social media platforms.

All of this has been made possible through easy access to applications that are quickly downloaded to a smartphone. Apple pioneered this approach through the creation of its App Store, which launched in 2008. Since that time, the number of apps available for purchase has increased from 500 to more than 2 million.² A large part of this growth is due to the App Store's new strategies for selling software, which eliminated the need to go to a brick-and-mortar store or scour the web for applications. Direct downloads from the store are convenient, and, just as importantly, safe and secure.

The App Store now provides consumers access to applications that can help them with virtually every aspect of their day. And given the standards established by the App Store, consumers can download with confidence. All of the available apps are examined by Apple in an effort to eliminate malware and minimize any cybersecurity threats. The App Store has also created a secure payment system to avoid fraud and protect consumers' financial privacy.

At the same time, the App Store offers even the smallest developers a global reach. In fact, the App Store has a customer base of 1.5 billion users, with 500 million visits weekly.³ It provides storage for apps and the technology to deliver the app to consumers. Coupled with quality and security measures, the App Store has created an expansive global market that makes it easy to reach new customers. In exchange for these benefits, the App Store collects a service fee of 30 percent of sales revenue (this drops to 15 percent for annual follow-on sales) as well as a service fee on microtransactions within an app.⁴ It should be noted that these fees only apply to apps that are sold; the thousands and thousands of free apps do not pay these charges. Importantly, these fees are voluntary, they are part of the private contracts entered into by app developers who choose to use a particular distribution platform.

Platforms such as the App Store or Google Play provide far more than just a payment system. There are a host of benefits that developers would otherwise need to supply on their own. None of these are costless, and developers, like any business, choose the strategies that provide the greatest return. Yet SB 63 would disrupt this ecosystem by allowing alternative payment systems that would allow developers to sidestep the fee sharing established by contracts with the distribution platforms. These changes may generate adverse impacts for both consumers and software developers. For example, consumers may face higher prices as the distribution platforms attempt to recover the costs of the services provided by the platform. Likewise, revenues lost to alternative payment mechanisms would basically be replaced by higher prices on those remaining in the system. Alternatively, the bundle of services provided by the distribution platforms could be reduced, pushing costs back to developers to provide the services themselves. Either way, such alternatives introduce inefficiencies into a market that has worked remarkably well for distributing applications to billions of consumers across the globe.

This raises another concern about SB 63. Namely, the internet is inherently global in scope. As such, state level regulations can be problematic, particularly when legislation imposing new regulations on distribution platforms has been introduced in a number of states. Imposing myriad state level regulations on a business model that operates at a much larger scale will increase compliance costs while providing few, if any, benefits for consumers. Should there be concerns over the economic characteristics of distribution platforms, they are more appropriately addressed at the federal level.

For these reasons, we oppose the SB 63 and its amendments to the "Fair Business Practices Act of 1975."

Thank you for your time.

Wayne Brough
Director, Technology and Innovation
R Street Institute
wbrough@rstreet.org

Marc Hyden
Director, State Government Affairs
R Street Institute
(404) 918-2731
mhyden@rstreet.org

¹ S.B. 63, Fair Business Practices of 1975, 2021-2022 GA Legislature, Regular Session.

<https://legiscan.com/GA/text/SB63/2021>.

² Mansoor Iqbal, "App Download and Usage Statistics (2020)," Business of Apps, Oct. 30, 2020.

<https://www.businessofapps.com/data/app-statistics>.

³ "Overview," Apple Developer, last accessed Feb. 27, 2020. <https://developer.apple.com/app-store/features>.

⁴ Julia Alexander, "A Guide to Platform Fees," The Verge, Sept. 22, 2020.

<https://www.theverge.com/21445923/platform-fees-apps-games-business-marketplace-apple-google>.